# Murray's Musings

#### TRENDS IN HEALTHCARE

According to Statista.com, in the calendar year 2022, there were 4.76 billion retail prescriptions filled in the U.S. The current U.S. population is 334.299 million. This amounts to about 14.24 prescriptions for every human being in the nation. This does not include pharmaceuticals dispensed in hospitals. It does not include pharmaceuticals dispensed in ambulatory care clinics. It does not include so-called over-the-counter drugs sold without a prescription.

This is one of the reasons that healthcare is a growth industry. The proportion of GDP devoted to healthcare is generally increasing. A recent calculation by the World Bank tabulates that in 2019, healthcare spending in the U.S. amounted to 16.8% of GDP. The Statista calculation for 2020 indicates that healthcare spending accounted for 18.8% of U.S. GDP. Obviously, the 2020 figure was impacted by extraordinary measures necessary to deal with the pandemic.

COVID-19 is a worldwide phenomenon, yet the United States is unique even among industrialized nations as for its healthcare spending as a proportion of GDP. Apart from the U.S., the highest such expenditures as a percent of GDP are by the following ten nations, the highest of which is Canada at 12.9%.

Table 1: Healthcare Expenditure as a Percentage of			
Gross Domestic Product (2020)			
Country	Healthcare Expenditure / GDP		
Canada	12.9%		
Germany	12.8%		
France	12.2%		
United Kingdom	12.0%		
Switzerland	11.8%		
Austria	11.5%		
Sweden	11.5%		
Norway	11.3%		
Netherlands	11.2%		
Japan	11.1%		
Source: Statista			

According to Statista, Costa Rica spends 7.9% of its GDP on healthcare. Hungary spends 7.3% of its GDP on healthcare. Luxembourg spends 5.7% of its GDP on healthcare. Mexico spends 6.2% of its GDP on healthcare.

One measure, although certainly not the only measure, of the effectiveness of these levels of spending is life expectancy. The World Health Organization (WHO) calculates life expectancy figures for nations annually.



Nation (202
Years
78.203
82.847
80.989
83.229
82.156
84.255
82.412
83.505
83.393
82.451
84.820
77.320
74.958
82.591
74.832

Table 2: Life Expectancy by Nation (2022)

When comparing national expenditures as a proportion of GDP, it is important, for meaningful comparison, to take account of any wide differences in *per-capita* GDP. One can say that the U.S. spends 2  $\frac{1}{2}$  times more on health care than Costa Rica, based on spending 18.8% of GDP on healthcare versus Costa Rica spending 7.3%. However, the percapita GDP of the U.S. is much higher than that of Costa Rica. The estimated per capita GDP of the U.S. in 2022 is \$76,027, while for Costa Rica it's \$13,089<sup>1</sup>. Those figures allow one to calculate the per capita dollar amount of spending on healthcare:

U.S.: 18.8% x \$76,027 = \$14,293.08 Costa Rica: 7.3% x \$13,089 = \$955.50

That reveals that the *per capita* expenditure of the U.S. on healthcare is 15.0 times that of Costa Rica. Nevertheless, the U.S. life expectancy is 78.203 years and the life expectancy of Costa Rica is 77.320 years. Viewed on a per capita basis, the vast excess of U.S. expenditure does not appear to be productive.

A problem with this type of calculation is that the various data sources do not agree on the life expectancy figures. The aforementioned life expectancy figure for Costa Rica is compiled by the World Health Organization. The Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs at the United Nations calculates the life expectancy of Costa Rica at 79 years. Macrotrends.net lists it at 80.56 years. The peer reviewed journal known as *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* is the source of the macrotrends.net life expectancy figure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Statista.com



In any case, in the U.S., national healthcare expenditure routinely expands more rapidly than GDP. According to CMS.gov (Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services), the annual spending figures for the past 10 years are as follows.

Table 3: U.S. National Healthcare Expenditure	
Year	Annual Expenditure
	(in trillions)
2011	\$2.676
2012	2.783
2013	2.856
2014	3.002
2015	3.165
2016	3.207
2017	3.448
2018	3.604
2019	3.757
2020	4.114
2021	4.256
Source: CMS.gov	

The compound annual expansion rate of spending is 4.75%. It is, of course, needless to say that the U.S. population does not grow at 4.75% per annum, and the GDP does not grow at that rate, either. The logical consequence of the trend is that the healthcare expense burden on the economy will become increasingly heavy.

In common investment parlance, the healthcare industry is frequently used as a synonym for pharmaceuticals. However, the U.S. healthcare sector is far more diverse. This is evident in the sectoral diversification of the largest healthcare ETF, known as The Health Care Select Sector SPDR Fund (XLV). This ETF is divided into five sectors, as detailed in the accompanying table.

Sector	Weight
Health Care Equipment & Supplies	18.77%
Health Care Providers & Services	22.36%
Life Sciences Tools & Services	12.95%
Pharmaceuticals	30.28%
Biotechnology	15.64%
Source: ssga.com	

Of these, biotechnology is an interesting category. It is obvious that the sector commands significant market capitalization. However, it does not produce large quantities of revenue. In any analysis of healthcare expenditure, it is more meaningful to determine the respective shares of that expenditure exclusive of biotechnology due to its limited revenue relevance. If this were done, the proportionate share of each of the four sectors would be as follows. While the pharmaceuticals sector accounts for somewhat over a third of the total, the balance is made up of health care providers and services, and two separate categories of companies providing health care equipment and supplies, and analytical tools, instruments, clinical trial services and research services.



Table 5: XLV Industry Allocation Excluding Biotechnolog
---

Sector	<u>Weight</u>
Health Care Equipment & Supplies	22.25%
Health Care Providers & Services	26.51%
Life Sciences Tools & Services	15.36%
Pharmaceuticals	35.89%
Source: ssga.com	

In order for this system to continue to expand at its historical rate, the typical human being would have to devote more time to medical examination and analysis in order to determine if medical intervention is required and, should such intervention be required, the degree of intervention and type of treatment. Ultimately, the growth proposition for health care spending can be reduced to the internet/technology growth proposition that has previously been propounded in Compendium discussions: that in order for the historical rate of industry growth to be sustained, the average person must spend more time on the internet. In the medical sphere, the average person would have to spend increasing amounts of time interacting with the medical system.

The OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development) maintains data on the length of the average hospital stay for most nations. It will be recalled from a prior table that the U.S. spends more on healthcare, as a proportion of GDP, than other industrialized nations. The following table compares the length of the average hospital stay in the U.S. versus the other industrialized nations.

Using length of hospital stay as a metric indicates that the U.S. uses its healthcare system less intensively than all nations on the list, excepting Sweden and the Netherlands. Even in these two instances, the intensity of hospital use is only marginally less than that of the U.S. Nevertheless, the U.S. spends a Table 6: Length of Average Hospital Stay (2021)

Country	Days
Canada	7.7
Germany	7.4
France	5.5
United Kingdom	6.2
Switzerland	6.9
Austria	6.4
Sweden	5.3
Norway	5.9
Netherlands	5.2
Japan	16.4
Costa Rica	6.8
Hungary	5.4
Luxembourg	7.5
Mexico	N/A
United States	5.4
Source: OECD	

far greater proportion of its GDP on healthcare than does either Sweden or the Netherlands. The relevant figures are that Sweden spends 11.5% of its GDP on healthcare, the Netherlands spends 11.2% of its GDP on healthcare, and the U.S. spends 18.8%. If one were to use life expectancy as a measure of healthcare outcomes, the U.S. obtains a less satisfactory result than either Sweden or the Netherlands, or even any other industrial nation.

If U.S. healthcare expenditures were to remain at 18.8% of GDP and not rise beyond this point, it must logically follow that the healthcare industry would be growing at the rate of GDP. This would be the nominal figure and not the real figure. Thus, if real GDP were to



average 2% growth per annum and inflation were held at the level of 2%, and the healthcare industry maintains its current share of GDP, it would be growing at a 4% rate.

At the time of this writing, The Health Care Select Sector SPDR Fund (XLV) trades at 16.32x earnings and 4.67x book value, and the 3-5 year estimated annual growth rate, as stated on the most recent fund factsheet, is 7%.

Those valuations make it fairly obvious that the stock market participants in this sector presume that the current circumstance, which is to say the historical rate of expansion, will continue. Implicitly, that embodies the presumption that the healthcare industry will represent an ever-increasing share of U.S. GDP. It presumes that the general populace will not be troubled by the fact that other nations have a healthcare industry that consumes a much smaller proportion of GDP; that foreign healthcare systems appear to be used more intensively by the population and with a far lower financial burden than is the case in the U.S. Moreover, the general populace will not be troubled that the outcome, at least as measured by life expectancy, however imperfectly, is lower in the U.S. than in other industrialized nations.

The alternative view to that of the investment community as expressed in the valuation of the health care sector, is that the current circumstance is unsustainable and that the unsustainability will have negative consequences for equity indexes, the U.S. GDP, and the national government solvency. In any case, the consensus does appear to be untroubled, an additional measure of that sentiment being the \$40.987 billion in assets under management of XLV, as of the most recent reckoning.



This report was produced by Horizon Kinetics ("HK"). The following persons employed by HK contributed to this report: Murray Stahl, Chairman, Steven Bregman, President, and Peter Doyle, Managing Director. HK is located at 470 Park Avenue South, New York, NY 10016. At the time of this report, there are no planned updates to the recommendations. To the extend HK has provided previous recommendations concerning the same issuer(s) during the preceding 12-month period, such recommendations do not differ from the recommendations contained here.

HK is the parent company to registered investment adviser Horizon Kinetics Asset Management LLC. It manages a variety of investment products including mutual funds, private funds and separate accounts. PCS Research Services ("PCS") is the exclusive marketer and distributor of this and other reports produced by HK. HK and PCS are not affiliated with one another. Neither entities perform or are expected to perform investment banking services for the issuer(s); are not market makers, and are not party to any agreements with the issuer(s). The issuer(s) has not been a client of HK or PCS. None of the research analysts involved in creating this report have received compensation from the issuer(s). HK analysts are compensated based on the success of the firm in general, along with the quality and accuracy of the analysts' research. Remuneration from HK to research analysts is not linked to investment firm activities of any affiliates. Conflicts of interest for employees of HK and PCS, and their affiliates, are managed by a formal code of ethics and information barrier procedures which include, but are not limited to, policies related to restricted lists, personal trading rules, and the prohibition of misuse of material non-public information.

Horizon Kinetics LLC ("Horizon Kinetics") is the parent holding company to registered investment adviser Horizon Kinetics Asset Management LLC and is the author of this report. PCS Research Services ("PCS"), an unaffiliated third party, is the exclusive marketer and an authorized distributor of this and other research reports created and authored by Horizon Kinetics. The investment thesis herein is solely that of Horizon Kinetics. This report is based on information available to the public; no representation is made with regard to its accuracy or completeness. This document is neither an offer nor a solicitation to buy or sell securities. All expressions of opinion reflect judgment as of the date published and are subject to change. Horizon Kinetics, PCS, and each of their respective employees, subsidiaries and affiliates may have positions in securities of companies mentioned herein. All views expressed in this research report accurately reflect the research analysts' personal views about any and all of the subject matter, securities, or issuers. No part of the research analysts in the research report. Reproduction of this report is strictly prohibited. © Horizon Kinetics LLC® 2023.

